## A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at  $\frac{1}{2}$  www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist.

Reference of report	Cantero-Muñoz P, Puñal Riobóo J. Efectividad clínica del cribado neonatal para la detección precoz de las inmunodeficiencias combinadas graves (IDCG). Red Española de Agencias de Evaluación de Tecnologías Sanitarias y Prestaciones del SNS. Agencia Gallega para la Gestión del Conocimiento en Salud (ACIS), Unidad de Asesoramiento Científico-técnico, avalia-t; 2018.  Aviable from: <a href="https://avalia-t.sergas.gal/DXerais/776/avalia-t201706CribadolDCG.pdf">https://avalia-t.sergas.gal/DXerais/776/avalia-t201706CribadolDCG.pdf</a>
Organization (acronym)	Avalia-t
Name of person completing the checklist	Paula Cantero-Muñoz
Email	avalia-t9@serga.es

11										
ltem						,	Yes	Partly	No	
Preliminary										
Appropriate contact details for further information?							Χ			
2. Authors identified?							Χ			
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?						Χ				
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?						Χ				
5. Short summary in non-technical language?								Х		
Why?										
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?							Χ			
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?							Χ			
8. Scope of the as	ssessm	ent specif	fied?				Χ			
9. Description of the assessed health technology?						Χ				
How?										
10. Details on sou	urces of	informati	on and literature	search	n strategies p	rovided	l?			
Search strategy	Databases		Year range		anguage estriction	Primary data		info	Other kind of information resources	
YES	ΥI	ES	YES		NO	`	/ES		YES	
	omplete reference list Lof included studies excluded		List of uded studies YES	led studies criteria			Exclusion criteria YES		a	
11. Information or	n basis 1	for the ass	sessment and in	terpret	ation of selec	ted dat	a and i	informatio	า?	
Method of data extraction described?		Critical appraisal method (for quality assessment of the literature) described?		Method of data synthesis described?		Results of the assessment clearly presented, eg, in the form of evidence tables?				
YES YI		YES	ES YES			YES				
Context?										
12. Information or	contex	kt (mayorı			1					
(Medico-) legal implications considered? NO	a pr	conomic nalysis ovided? ARTLY	implication	Ethical implications considered?		Social implications considered? YES		Other perspectives (stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered? YES		

What then?	Yes	Partly	No
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?	X		
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?	X		
15. Suggestions for further action?			Х